

TRIAL

OF

K

JOSEPH CLARKE, the Younger, and SARAH LLOYD,

At the Assizes, held at Bury St. Edmund's, March 20, 1800,

Before Sir NASH GROSE, Knt.

One of his Majesty's Justices of the Court of King's Bench.

Taken in Short Hand by Mr. W. NOTCUTT.

Ipswich: Printed by S. Jackson, in the Butter-market.

Counsel for the Prosecution, Mr. HARVEY.—Attorney Mr. LEAKE.
Counsel for the Prisoner Clarke, Mr. ALDERSON.—Attorney Mr. BRAME.

The Prisoners were arraigned upon Two separate Indictments.

1st. For feloniously and maliciously setting fire to the dwelling house of SARAH SYER, of Hadleigh, in the county of Suffolk, widow, and consuming the same, on the 3d of October, 1799. There was a second count in the indictment, for feloniously and maliciously setting fire to the said dwelling house.

And 2dly. For feloniously and burglariously breaking and entering the dwelling house of the said Sarah Syer, in the night of the 3d of October, 1799. And a second count, for stealing thereout divers goods and monies, the property of the said Sarah Syer and Elizabeth Hoborough, spinster, of the value of 40s. and upwards.

To these indictments they severally pleaded Not Guilty; and they were put upon their trial for the burglary.

Mr. HARVEY.

My Lord and Gentlemen of the Jury.

I Request you will indulge me with your attention, whilst I state to you the evidence which will be brought before you against the prisoners at the bar. Mrs. Syer is a respectable widow Lady, who lives at Hadleigh, in this county, where she has resided about Twelve months. Mrs. Hoborough lives with her as her companion and boarder. Sarah Lloyd lived servant with her for about Eight months before she committed the crime she is charged with. It had been the custom of Mrs. Hoborough herself, to see that all the fires were out and all the doors fastened. On the night of the 3d of October last, which is the day we say the crime was committed, Mrs. Hoborough had seen, as usual, that all the doors and windows were properly fastened, particularly one door that led out of this house into the street, bolted down

A



508

down and properly secured; the prisoner Lloyd was accustomed to sleep in the room that Mrs. Syer slept in. She being an elderly Lady, the prisoner used to sleep in the same room in a small bed. In the morning, between 2 and 3 o'clock, the neighbours were alarmed with the cry of fire, and when they came to give assistance, the first person who got up to the door was a Mr. King; he will prove that the door, as soon as he touched it, opened, and that there was no bolt or bar to secure it. The first thing Mrs. Syer did, upon her being awakened, was to call for her servant Lloyd, who did go to bed in her room, for Mrs. Hoborough will tell you, that she heard her in that room unlacing her stays, and preparing herself for going to bed; upon Mrs. Hoborough's going into Mrs. Syer's room, she went up to the prisoner's bed, and found she was not there. She found too that some things were missing, and that she had left her dirty linen, and taken other away. When the neighbours came into the house, the fire was raging at an out-house; and they found the dwelling-house had been attempted to be set fire to in three different places, and that there was fire concealed under the stairs, which fortunately did not take effect; for if it had, it would have been almost impossible for these Ladies to have saved their lives. When the Ladies were removed to a place of safety, Mrs. Syer began to make inquiries and to think about her property; accordingly she requested Mr. Bickmore to go into her house and to look for her pockets, which, when she went to bed, were behind her pillow. Mr. Bickmore did go to look for them, but the pockets were not found, nor have they been found to this day; I believe some person in Court knows where they are, but we do not. Mrs. Syer then began to enquire what she had lost; several things were discovered to be lost, which, as they were wearing apparel, I shall not enumerate them. You must imagine that suspicion fell on the prisoner at the bar, and there was little doubt but that she was a party in the crime; accordingly search was made after the prisoner, and it will be particular for your observation to take notice where she was found; the manner in which she was found; her behaviour on being found; and her conduct afterwards: It was early in the morning, a few days after the fire, as a person whose name is Green, was walking in the road, he observed a woman walking before him; it struck him she might be the woman that had committed the crime; he walked after her; she walked faster on, and suddenly turned out of the path into the fields, where he saw her throw herself over a bramble hedge into a ditch; when he got up to her, he asked her what all this was for? She said, for God's sake let me go. Finding her in this situation, and knowing she had been a servant to Mrs. Syer, he taxed her with having been doing something very improper, and he would not let her go, but very properly took her into custody. On his delivering her to Simpson, the constable of Hadleigh, before she was carried to a magistrate, she said that the bundles she had taken from Mrs. Syer were in an outhouse at her father's. These bundles were found in the situation she described, and they contained the things belonging to Mrs. Syer; and there is no doubt but she took them, and carried them there, as they were found according to her description. Now, the next question is, at what time of night this was done? There is no doubt but it was dark at the time it was done, as it was about Ten o'clock when Mrs. Hoborough went to bed, and had seen all the doors fastened, and it was about One o'clock that the fire was discovered. To constitute a burglary, there must be an absolute breaking; and to prove that there was in this case, I have her confession before the magistrate; this I shall, for particular reasons, not read, till it is brought forth in evidence. All the facts I have stated are against the prisoner Lloyd. Nor can I state any thing against the other prisoner that is legal evidence; he must be acquitted, and I shall consent to his being so, without any thing comes out against him that I don't know of.

SARAH



SARAH SYER.

You live at Hadleigh? Yes.

How long have you lived there? Between eleven and twelve months.

Do you know the prisoner at the bar, Sarah Lloyd? Yes.

She was your servant? Yes.

How long has she been with you? She has been my servant six and thirty weeks.

Do you recollect her being with you the 3d of October last? Yes.

Did she put you to bed that evening? Yes she did.

Did you see her that evening come to bed? I cannot say I saw her, but I heard her in my room; my bed curtains were drawn.

She used to sleep in your room? Yes she did.

Tell the Court and Jury what happened. In the morning, about one or two o'clock, I was alarmed with the cry of fire, and was called to come down, or else we should be burnt.

What did you do upon this alarm? I called to my servant, Sarah Lloyd, for a light; I could not find her.

Did Miss Hoborough come in afterwards? Yes, I think so.

How long was it before you got away? A very little time; as soon as I could get my things on I got away, the danger was so great.

Where did you go to? To Mr. Bickmore's.

When you went out of the house, you had not missed an article? No, I had not.

Did you desire any body to go to the house to look for any thing? I asked Mr. Bickmore to go back and look for my pockets.

Did he find them? No, they were not found then, nor since.

When did you return to the house? I went again between 3 and 4 o'clock.

When you went back, in what state did you find your house? The fire had consumed what we call the coal house.

Was the house burnt? No, only part of it.

Did you look for any particular article? I went up stairs, and I missed some of my things.

What did you miss? I missed my flannel coat, my pockets from under my pillow. What else did you miss at the same time? I missed two gowns, two petticoats; there were five caps missing, a housewife and handkerchief, a black silk cloak lined with lace, a black handkerchief lined with lace, and another black handkerchief and a muslin one.

Were all these things in the house when you went to bed? Yes they were, the gowns hung up in my room.

What are the value of those things you have mentioned? About 3 guineas.

Did you lose any money? Yes, between 10 and 11 guineas.

What was the value of the cloak? About 30s. it was the cloak lined with lace.

You lost a watch at the same time? Yes.

The watch and money were in your pocket? Yes.

Judge. Do you know the man? Yes, Sir.

How long have you known him? A great many years.

Had you seen him in your house that night? No, I had not.

ELIZABETH HOBOROUGH.

You live as companion to Mrs. Syer? Yes.

You were with her the 3d of October? Yes, I was.

What time did you go to bed? I went to bed between 9 and 10 o'clock.

What did you do before you went to bed? I bar'd all the doors and windows, and saw all the fires put out.

Did you fasten the outward door? Yes.

How did you bar the door—describe how you did it? It was locked, and bolted with a cross bar, a small bar at the top.

Were all these fastened? Yes they were.

What was the cross bar? It was an iron one. Was it locked? Yes.

Were there 3 fastenings to the door? Yes there were.

Where

Where did you see Sarah Lloyd last that evening? It was in Mrs. Syer's bed chamber.

What was she doing there? She was warming Mrs. Syer's bed.

Did you see her after Mrs. Syer was in bed? No; but I heard her go down stairs and come up again.

When she came up again, where did she go? Into Mrs. Syer's bed room, to go to bed.

How do you know that? I heard her begin to undress herself—I heard the lace of her stays rattle.

Then your room was near Mrs. Syer's? The rooms join each other.

When were you alarmed with the cry of fire? Between 2 and 3 in the morning.

Did you go into Mrs. Syer's room? Yes I did.

Did you find Sarah Lloyd there? No, I did not: I went and examined the bed, and she was not there.

What did you observe on the bed? At that time I observed nothing, as it was dark.

What did you observe when you had a light? When a light came, I found some of Sarah Lloyd's cloaths.

Were they part of the cloaths she had on that day? Yes, I am certain of that.

Did you go out of the house with Mrs. Syer? Yes, I went with her to Mr. Bickmore's.

What did you lose? I lost two gowns, an apron, and a cap.

What might be the value of your things? They were worth about 30s.

Were you present when the prisoner was brought back? Yes I was.

Do you remember any thing particular of her dress? Mrs. Syer's coat was taken off her.

Did you see it taken off her? I assisted in undressing her.

Questions to Mrs. Syer.

Where was this coat the night before? It was the coat I had on when I went to bed.

When you examined the bed, was there an appearance of any person having been in bed that night? Yes there was.

Did you observe the door when you went down? Yes, it was open.

Were the bolts fastened? No they were not.

Wm. KING.

Do you live at Hadleigh? Yes.

Do you remember the alarm of fire? Yes I do.

When was it? It was late on the 3d, or early on the 4th of October last I heard the shriek of fire, and found it was at Mrs. Syer's house.

Whereabouts do you live? Very near, a very little way from the house.

What did you do? I went, and I said to a man, let us break the door open.

Did you do so? I ran at the door with my foot, with intent to break it open, and it flew open.

Could there be any fastening? No, there could not, for it opened directly at my running against it.

Did you examine the door afterwards? Yes I did examine it, and it could not have flown open if it had been fastened with any one of the bolts.

You examined them? Yes, and it could not have opened so easily if any of them had been fastened.

You alarmed the family when you got there? I alarmed the family all I could with the cry of fire.

ASKEW BICKMORE.

You are a schoolmaster at Hadleigh? Yes.

You live opposite Mrs. Syer's? Yes I do.

You remember there being a cry of fire? I do.

Mrs. Syer came to your house? Yes she did.

She asked you to go and look for her pockets? I went to look for some of the property at the request of Mrs. Syer.

Was this while the house was burning? Yes it was.

What did you particularly go to look for? I went to look for Mrs. Syer's pockets.

Could you find them? No, I could not.

Was any enquiry made after the girl that night? There were repeated enquiries made.

Could she be found? No, she could not.

ISAAC GREEN.

Do you know, Lloyd the prisoner? Yes.
 You know her family? Yes, I do.
 Do you remember seeing her in October last? I saw her when I took her after the fire at Hadleigh.

How many days after? I cannot tell, as I am no scholar.

Where did you see her? I saw her coming down in the road.

Where was it? About 6 miles from Hadleigh.

What day was it? On Saturday.

What time of the day? About 6 o'clock in the morning.

Was she going from you, or coming towards you? She was coming towards me; when she saw me, instead of coming forward, she run into the field.

Did you follow her? I followed her through three little fields.

Was there a foot path in those fields? There was in two of them a foot path; where there was no foot path she ran along on the border, and skipt over a bramble into the ditch. I asked her what all this meant?

Did you lay hold of her? I asked her her name, and she owned her name; she said her name was Sarah Lloyd? I asked her if she was servant to Mrs. Syer? She said yes. Then I told her I was afraid she had done this mischief at Hadleigh?

What answer did she give you to this? She said no; she had got inveigled with two soldiers.

What did you do with her? I took her by the hand, and took her out of the ditch. She beg'd I would let her go. I told her she must go to the public house with me.

Did you take her there? Yes, I took her there, and sent for the constable of the parish.

You delivered her to Simpson? No, to the constable of the parish.

Did you see her delivered up to the Hadleigh constable? Yes.

Cross-examined by Mr. Alderson.

She told you she was got inveigled with two soldiers? Yes.

It was the first thing she told you? Yes.

THOMAS SIMPSON.

You are constable of Hadleigh? Yes I am.
 You took charge of the prisoner, Lloyd? Yes.

On taking charge of her, what did she say? When she had been along with me a little while, a relation came to speak to her, and asked her how she came to be so lost as to let the soldiers have so much rule over her?

What did she say? I told her that there could be no such thing, as there were no soldiers quartered in the town at the time. She said there were two; she said one of them came to the front of the house, and the other went to the back part.

What did you say to her? I asked her whether she could tell me where the bundle of goods was that she robbed her mistress of?

What answer did she give? She said they were at her father's at Naughton.

In what place did she say they were in? She said they were in a hovel joining the house.

In consequence of this information did you go there? Yes I did.

Did you look for them? Yes.

Did you find them there? Yes.

Where are they? I have them here in Court.

You found them in the place she told you? She went with me and Mr. Syer.

Do you recollect the prisoner being searched? Yes.

What was taken from her? A flannel petticoat.

Produce the goods. The goods produced, and the several things sworn to by Mrs. Syer and Miss Hoborough, to the value of upwards of 40s.

Mr. HARVEY. My Lord, I have to observe, that as there is no evidence

B

against

against the prisoner Clarke, I consent that he be acquitted before the confession is read.

JUDGE GROSE. I think you act very candidly and proper, as there is no evidence; therefore, Gentlemen of the Jury, as there is no evidence against Clarke, you must acquit him; accordingly the Jury found Clarke NOT GUILTY, and he was discharged.

Mr. PARSONS Sworn.

You are Clerk to the Magistrate? Yes.

You remember Lloyd being brought before Mr. Gooch? Yes I do.

Was that confession made voluntarily, without promises or threatenings? Yes it was. Did you see her sign it? Yes.

To Mrs. Syer. What was the value of the things in your pocket? There were 11 or 12 guineas and a metal watch.

To the Prisoner. You have heard the evidence that has been given against you, what have you to say in your defence.

Prisoner. It was not me, my Lord, but Clarke that did it.

Have you any witness to call? No.

Have you any to your character? I don't know that I have, except Mrs. Syer, (who said she could not give her a good one.)

SUFFOLK. The Voluntary Confession and Examination of Sarah Lloyd, of Hadleigh, in the said County, single woman, taken before me Thomas Sherlock Gooch, Esq. one of his Majesty's Justices of the Peace, in and for the said county, the 5th day of Oct. in the year of our Lord 1799.

Who saith that for about 8 months last past, she has lived with Mrs. Syer of Hadleigh, as her servant. That about two months ago, ——— was employed to do some painting about her mistress's house; and at the time he was so employed, an acquaintance took place between them. * * * * *

On Thursday night the 3d instant, she went to bed about 10 o'clock and slept in a bed by herself in her mistress's room. About one o'clock the next morning, she heard a noise at the door, and went to the window of the room she slept in; and asked who was there: ——— said, It is me. Knowing his voice, she dressed herself, and knew her mistress was asleep at the time, because she snored. She went down stairs with a candle, which she lighted from one burning in the room. She unbarred and unlocked the street door, and let ——— in. He said, will you go with me? She asked him where? He said up stairs, and pulled off his shoes and followed her up stairs, having before asked her in the parlour, whether she thought her mistress was fast asleep, to which she said she believed she was. When they were upon the landing of the stairs, ——— asked her whether she knew where her mistress's pockets were? she said she did know, and that they were under her mistress's head. They went together into her mistress's room. ——— stood at the table, upon which the candle was placed, and requested her to take the pockets; upon which, she went to the head of the bed; the curtains at the feet being undrawn; and found the pockets between the bolster and pillow, which she drew away without disturbing her mistress. ——— then said,—have you got them? She said she had, and they both went down stairs, taking the candle with them off the table. When they got into the parlour, she laid the pockets on the table. ——— then took the candle and went up the same stairs again into the best chamber, and she followed him. He then took 3 caps into his hand out of a basket upon the bed, and asked her whether there was any thing else she could get? She said yes. A gown and coat of her mistress's in the closet. Upon which he went into the closet and took them, and then carried them across the best chamber into her mistress's room, and she followed him. He carried the candle. She heard her mistress still snoring. ——— whispered to her whether she could get any thing else. She said no,—she thought he had got quite enough. ——— then carrying the candle in his hand, looked into Miss Hoborough's room; the door of which was then open as usual. He said there were two gowns hanging up in the room, and asked her repeatedly whether he should take them. She said he had got enough; but he went in of his own accord and took them, and brought them into Mrs. Syer's room, and carried them all down stairs into the little parlour; and he tied them up in an handkerchief, which he took off her mistress's chair as they passed through the room. ——— then asked her to get her own cloaths, which she did, taking the candle and going up stairs to her box, which stood on the landing. She brought them down stairs and tied them up in the parlour. He asked her to lend him the candle, which she gave him. He went into the kitchen, and from thence into the yard to the coal-house door. She went out of the door, but did not follow him. She saw him set fire to the straw in the coal-house; and when he came in, he took two whisks of straw from the scullery, and went again up stairs with the candle. She asked him where he was going. He said not far. She staid in the passage below, and he returned in a minute or two. She then asked him what he had been after. He told her he carried one whisk of straw into the closet of the best room, and the other he stuffed into a small closet under the stair case, leading to her mistress's room. She saw

saw him light the straw under the stairs—and said, the Lord have mercy upon me! what do you mean? He made no answer; but went into the best parlour, and brought out her mistress's black silk cloak and handkerchief; and when he came out of the room, he shut the door after him, and told her he had set the curtains on fire. She went out of the house first, and ——— followed her, and pulled the door after him, carrying the bundles in his hand. They went through the Church-yard to ———'s house. After they got into the house, ——— said, what are we to do in this matter? She said she did not know. ——— said, if there is an alarm of fire, will you turn it over to the soldiers? She did not know what to do. But ——— said you can do it and clear me; lay it to the soldiers that were quartered in the town, one at the Lion, and the other at the Ram; and say one was in the market, and the other left you at Stone-street. And you had better take the parcels and go to your mother. She took them and went out of the house. She observed that her mistress's pockets were not tied up in the parcels; but ——— carried them under his arm. She then went to her mother's at Naughton, with the two bundles.

The Mark X of SARAH LLOYD.

Taken before me, T. S. GOOCH.

Attested by me, GEORGE PARSONS.

Mr. Justice GROSE. Gentlemen, The prisoner at the bar is indicted for breaking into the house of Mrs. Sarah Syer, for the purpose of committing a felony; and she is likewise charged in the indictment, with stealing goods out of the dwelling-house to the value of 40s. I will state to you, what constitutes a burglary. A burglary is breaking and entering into a house in the night, when it is dark, by forcing the bolts, locks, or bars, so as to amount to a forcible entry, and it must be in the night time, or it is not a burglary. There are several charges against the prisoner, some of which she is not guilty of; but there are some of which you are to judge. When I state to you, that she was indicted with Joseph Clarke, I state to you, that there being no evidence against him he was acquitted. It seems to me that there is no proof of a burglary, for there must be a breaking of the house, or forcing the bolt, in order to break in; and I must state to you, that she did not break into the house, but she broke out of the house, which is no burglary. But she is indicted for stealing in the dwelling-house, a certain quantity of goods to the amount of 40s. this is a capital offence, for it is a capital offence to steal out of a dwelling-house, goods of the value of 40s. It seems to me, this is the part of the indictment to which I must direct your attention, therefore it is for you to enquire whether she stole out of the house of Mrs. Sarah Syer, goods to the value of 40s.

The learned Judge, after recapitulating the evidence, said, we see that within two days after the crime is committed the prisoner is taken up; she was asked this question, where the property was that she had robbed her mistress of, she told Simpson, the constable, where it was, she went with him and shewed him where it was. This is strong proof of her guilt, as no person but those who stole the property could tell where it was. The bundles are found in the possession of the prisoner, and as she gives no account how she came by them, it is strong presumptive proof that she took them and put them there. In support of this, her confession is produced. I must observe to you, that by this confession she endeavours to lay the guilt on another person. It was most honourable and candid on the part of the council for the prosecution, to consent that Clarke should be acquitted before the confession was read. I say, it was most honourable in him so to do, lest it should prejudice your minds, though it ought to have no effect, for she cannot accuse any body but herself; she may bring the whole weight of the law, by her confession, upon herself, but she being charged with the offence, it cannot affect any other person but herself. I say, it was honourable in the counsel to direct that Clarke should be previously acquitted, as there is no evidence against him. As to the confession, I don't know that it is necessary for me to read the whole, but only just the substance of what it contains. It states, that she stole her mistress's pockets from under her head,

head, which contained more than 40s.; she took a great deal more and went away with it; she don't say where, but this is supplied by the evidence of Simpson, who found the things by her direction. There is no doubt from this confession what this crime amounts to; that she is guilty of stealing in the dwelling-house to the amount of 40s. All I have to say, is, that I have been looking into this case, and can find no loop hole whereby you might be induced to acquit her; but if you can say truly and safely, that you have any doubt on your minds you ought to acquit; if not, it is your duty, though it is painful to a feeling mind to find her guilty. It is an enormous crime, and justice calls, that a person who commits such a crime should be found guilty; but I would not have you be led away by me; you are to consider of it as honest men, and give a verdict accordingly. I will just state to you, that where a servant admits another person into the house, it is a burglary; therefore, if you think she did so, you may find her guilty of the burglary.

The Jury, after a short consultation, found her NOT GUILTY of the burglary, but GUILTY of stealing in the dwelling house to the value of 40s.

The Judge's Speech upon passing Sentence on the prisoner.

Sarah Lloyd! You have been tried and convicted by a very attentive jury, of the crime of larceny in the dwelling house of Mrs. Syer. When I recollect what the case is, and what further charge there was against you, I do state your crime to be one of the foulest and most complicated that human nature is capable of. You are convicted of having robbed the house of your mistress; a servant robbing a mistress is a very heinous crime; but your crime is greatly heightened; your mistress placed implicit confidence in you; you slept near her, in the same room, and you ought to have protected her; but instead of that, when she was asleep, and deprived of all energy and sense, you, by your confession, rose to admit into the house the villain to whom you had surrendered your virtue. You took the unfortunate Lady's pockets from under her head, and robbed her of what she had; but though this crime was bad, yet it was innocence, compared with what followed: you were not content with robbing your mistress, but you conspired to set her house on fire, thereby adding to your crime death and destruction not only to the unfortunate Lady, but to all those whose houses were near by. A more baneful pest to society cannot have existed among men, than those who, after having injured, attempt to destroy those to whom they owe strict obedience. You were admitted to sleep in the same room; your mistress, whom you attempted to destroy, reposed the fullest confidence in you. Whether you were in hearing when I passed sentence on the unfortunate convicts at the bar just now, I cannot tell; but I have to announce to you, that your last hour is approaching; and for the great and aggravated offence that you have committed, the law dooms you to die. In my situation I cannot advise his Majesty to shew you any grace or pardon whatsoever, for yours is a crime that must be punished; I therefore advise you to repent of the crime you have committed, that you may deserve that gracious pardon which the Father of all Mercies will grant to the truly penitent. I have only to pronounce the dreadful sentence of the law, which is, that you be taken from hence to the place from whence you came, and from thence to the place of execution, and that you be there hanged by the neck until you are dead; and the Lord have mercy on your soul!

Thus ended this trial, which has excited an unusual degree of interest in the public mind. Before we proceed to make a few remarks upon it, it may be proper to correct some errors, which have gone abroad, relative to the pro-

prosecution itself. It has been asserted by some persons, who are ignorant even of the forms of judicial proceedings in criminal cases, that Clarke was not tried at all. It is only necessary to refer the reader to the trial itself, to expose the falsehood and absurdity of this assertion. It has been said also, that the prisoners should have been indicted separately, and that they should have been tried for the house burning first. This also proceeds upon the same misconception of the case, and the proceedings upon it. If Clarke was concerned at all, he was concerned as a principal, and consequently was properly indicted with Lloyd. Against him there was no *legal* evidence, and therefore he was acquitted. They were indicted jointly, by the advice of one of the most able Crown Lawyers of the present day. They were also properly indicted for the burglary and larceny in the first instance; for the evidence on that indictment was clear and positive against Lloyd. On the indictment for the Arson, it was presumptive only. And in both cases there was such a defect of *legal* evidence against Clarke, that it was impossible to convict him of either charge.

But to return to the crime itself, as it was proved against the unhappy prisoner, Sarah Lloyd. And whether we consider the age and situation of the accused, the means resorted to for the perpetration of this horrible offence, the providential escape of the two ladies from the fury of the flames, and the atrocity and malignity of the crime itself, it is to be hoped that a similar instance of wickedness and depravity may never again occur in this county. In contemplating all the painful and afflicting circumstances of this singular trial, it affords much relief to the feeling and humane mind to reflect, that the behaviour of this unfortunate woman has been most decent, composed, and contrite, since her condemnation. She has shewn none of that desperate hardihood, none of that fearless and shameless effrontery, the too frequent effects of a depraved and corrupted heart. She has indeed shuddered at the idea of death, and shrunk with apprehension from its terrors. But this state of alarm, natural to all, and most natural to her feeble and timid sex, has been temporary and partial. In general, she has been calm and resigned, anxious for the moment of dissolution, and impressed with a proper sense of her situation here, and the most lively hopes of mercy hereafter. For this happy composure and serenity of mind, she was indebted to the most tender attentions, the most kind solicitude, and the most salutary admonitions of a Clergyman of the neighbourhood,* the rank and dignity of whose family are perhaps amongst the least recommendations of his truly amiable character. This gentleman went repeatedly to visit her in goal, and by the warmth with which he entered into her situation, and sympathised in her sorrows, he produced that singularly happy change in her, which was attended with unspeakable satisfaction to herself, and great consolation to all around her. She uniformly asserted the truth of her confession. She has indeed been more circumstantial in her description of the events of that fatal night, since her condemnation. But as the

* The Rev. Dr. Hay Drummond, rector of Hadleigh, brother to the Earl of Kinnoul.

never deviated from the plain and simple narrative in her life time, so she sealed it with her last and dying words. It can no longer be doubted. It is deposited in the Sanctuary, and inscribed in the immutable Records of Truth! And may the God of Truth and Mercy pardon her offences, and receive her soul, through the merits and intercession of her crucified Saviour.

The 9th of April was the day at first fixed upon for her execution; but it was suspended for 14 days, in the hope that the Royal mercy might be extended to her; it was however deemed highly necessary to make a severe example of such an atrocious offence. On that day her mind was quite composed, and prepared for her awful change. She was even conversive, and gave to a gentleman, who has humanely attended her constantly in prison, a few trifles to dispose of; such as a pair of gold ear-rings to her sister; a pin-cushion to a little girl at Clarke's; her cloaths to her mother, to be divided amongst her sisters, except such as she gave to two girls, who were in Bury prison with her. She then requested to be decently interred. When speaking of Clarke, she said, *Remember me to him; tell him, I freely forgive and die in peace with him, and hope he will forgive me; pray, Sir, advise him to lead a sober and a better life.*

From this dreadful but necessary example, an useful lesson is taught to every class of the community. To masters and mistresses of families, it ~~shows~~ the absolute necessity, not merely of watching the conduct, but of improving the morals and instructing the minds of their domestics in religious truths. To servants, and more particularly to female servants, it points out the importance of sobriety, chastity, fidelity, honesty, obedience; and that it is not less their interest, than their duty, to cultivate these virtues. Had this unhappy girl been instructed in the rudiments only of Christianity, of which she was utterly ignorant, this crime probably would never have been committed. She first fell a victim to seduction. She lost her virtue, her sense of rectitude, and her life. May this tremendous example, loudly called for by the injured justice of the country, go home to every man's bosom, and leave this strong and salutary impression there "*That the loss of virtuous and religious principle, is followed by the sacrifice of every thing dear on Earth, and of the best hopes of Heaven.*"

Errata. In page 3, 2d col. lines 9, 10, and 21, for lined, read trimm'd.

— 18 JA 53

Ipswich: Printed by S. Jackson, Butter-market.